

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/525,707	DENNEAU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. England	2143	

All Participants:

(1) David E. England.

(2) Frank DeRosa, Reg. No. 43,584.

Status of Application: Amendment - After Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 May 2005

Time: 10:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Attorney DeRosa Emailed claim amendments to be discussed.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-9,22

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

David England
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

DC

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney DeRosa and Examiner discussed allowable subject matter that would place the application in condition for an allowance. After several phone calls, Attorney and Examiner had come to an agreement to claim language that would place the application in for an allowance.

DL